

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 SHAMELLE R. MORRIS,) Civil No. 07cv2122-L (NLS)
12 Plaintiff,) **ORDER RE: ORAL ARGUMENT**
13 v.)
14 HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL, LLC; *et*)
15 *al.*,)
16 Defendants.)

17 Defendant Homecomings Financial, LLC's motion to dismiss the complaint or
18 alternatively, for a more definite statement [doc. #2] is set for hearing on January 28, 2008.¹
19 The Court finds this motion suitable for determination on the papers submitted and without oral
20 argument pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.1(d)(1). Accordingly, no appearances are required.

21 After defendant's motion was filed, plaintiff, who is appearing without counsel, filed a
22 motion for summary judgment which is set for hearing on February 11, 2008. [doc. #5].
23 Because defendant's motion to dismiss is pending, the Court will not require defendant to file an
24 opposition to plaintiff's motion, will vacate the February 11, 2008 hearing date and will
25


26
27 ¹ The Court notes that plaintiff has not filed a timely opposition to defendant's
28 motion to dismiss or for a more definite statement. Civil Local Rule 7.1.f.3 provides that "[i]f an
opposing party fails to file the papers in the manner required by Civil Local Rule 7.1.e.2, that
failure may constitute a consent to the granting of a motion or other request for ruling by the
court."

1 reschedule the motion for summary judgment if it is deemed necessary.

2 Based on the foregoing, oral argument on defendant's motion to dismiss and plaintiff's
3 motion for summary judgment are **VACATED**. Defendant is not required to file an opposition
4 to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment unless the Court so orders.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 DATED: January 23, 2008

7 
8 M. James Lorenz
United States District Court Judge

9
10 COPY TO:

11 HON. NITA L. STORMES
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28